


1) This is my #Qanon thread for April 8, 2020


Q posts can be found here:



qalerts.app

Android apps:





My Theme: Covid 19 - How to Steal an Election



- 2) For the benefit of those who are new to these discussions, I'll provide a brief history of efforts intended to prevent Donald Trump from being elected President and then, to remove him from office.
- 3) in 2016, the FBI launched Operation Crossfire Hurricane in what appears to be a politically motivated attempt to prevent Donald Trump from being elected President. Attorney General Barr is currently investigating the origins of that operation.



William Barr is rightly looking into 'Crossfire Hurricane' Attorney General William Barr spent two days on Capitol Hill this week, ostensibly to testify on his department's fiscal 2020 budget request.

https://www.washingtontimes.com/news/2019/apr/10/william-barr-is-rightly-looking-into...

4) When Operation Crossfire Hurricane failed, the Mueller investigation was launched in an attempt to remove POTUS from office.

That effort also failed when on March 22nd, 2019, the Mueller investigation ended without POTUS being charged with a crime.



MUELLER PROBE ENDS: Special counsel submits Russia report to Att...

The handoff marks the long-anticipated end of the high-profile and highly guarded Russia probe, nearly two years after it began.

https://www.cnbc.com/2019/03/22/robert-mueller-submits-special-counsels-russia-prob...

5) Hoping to find some evidence of wrongdoing, Trump's enemies had Mueller testify before Congress on July 24th, 2019.

Mueller's testimony was an even bigger disaster than his written report.



Live coverage: Mueller testifies before Congress


Former special counsel Robert Mueller will testify Wednesday in what is expected to be one of the more dramatic days on Capitol Hill in recent memory.

https://thehill.com/policy/national-security/454410-live-blog-mueller-testifies-before-con...


6) The VERY NEXT DAY, POTUS had his now-infamous phone call with Ukrainian President Zelensky.

That phone call was used as a pretext to impeach POTUS.

https://www.whitehouse.gov/wp-content/uploads/2019/09/Unclassified09.2019.pdf



- 7) Impeachment is normally a lengthy process, but the House of Representatives, led by Adam Schiff completed its investigation in record time. They allowed almost no input from Republicans.
- 8) Matt Gaetz and Steve Scalise forever changed the opinion of voters toward (some) Republicans when they led their colleagues to Adam Schiff's lair and demanded access to the impeachment process.



9) The House of Representatives voted on its articles of impeachment on December 18th, 2019. They were the first articles of impeachment against a U.S. President that did not specify

a crime.



House Democrats abandon crimes in Trump impeachment articles - Ro... House Democrats spoke for months about how investigations had established crimes that President Donald Trump committed, but on Tuesday they did not specifically include those allegations in articles ...

https://www.rollcall.com/2019/12/11/house-democrats-abandon-crimes-in-trump-impea..

10) Speaker of the House Nancy Pelosi submitted the article of impeachment to the Senate a month later, on January 16th, 2020. The Senate acquitted the President on February 5th.



11) The President has often noted that in January, while he was in the early stages of dealing with the coronavirus, his enemies were in the process of impeaching him.



Trump says he wouldn't have acted differently on coronavirus without ... President Trump said Tuesday that he would not have acted differently or more quickly in addressing the coronavirus if he weren't impeached by the Democratic-controlled House of Representatives.

https://thehill.com/homenews/administration/490503-trump-says-he-wouldnt-have-acte...

12) The coronavirus was a growing problem in China during the entire month of December. Though China's government tried to conceal the facts, the spread of Covid-19 was not a secret.



The Comprehensive Timeline of China's COVID-19 Lies | National Review A day-by-day, month-by-month breakdown of China's coronavirus coverup and the irreparable damage it has caused around the globe.

https://www.nationalreview.com/the-morning-jolt/chinas-devastating-lies/

government, the American people, and the world prepared for the oncoming danger of infection.

Some point in late 2019: The coronavirus jumps from some animal species to a human being. The best guess at this point is that it happened at a Chinese "wet market."

December 6: According to a study in *The Lancet*, the symptom onset date of the first patient identified was "Dec 1, 2019 \dots 5 days after illness onset, his wife, a 53-year-old woman who had no known history of exposure to the market, also presented with pneumonia and was hospitalized in the isolation ward." In other words, as early as the second week of December, Wuhan doctors were finding cases that indicated the virus was spreading from one human to another.

December 21: Wuhan doctors begin to notice a "cluster of pneumonia cases with an unknown cause"

December 25: Chinese medical staff in two hospitals in Wuhan are suspected of contracting viral pneumonia and are quarantined. This is additional strong evidence of human-to-human transmission.

Sometime in "Late December": Wuhan hospitals notice "an exponential increase" in the number of cases that cannot be linked back to the Huanan Seafood Wholesale Market, according to the New England Journal of Medicine.

December 30: Dr. Li Wenliang sent a message to a group of other doctors warning them about a possible outbreak of an illness that resembled severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS), urging them to take protective measures against infection.

December 31: The Wuhan Municipal Health Commission declares, "The investigation so far has not found any obvious human-to-human transmission and no medical staff infection." This is the

13) Consider that a viral epidemic in China was building steam at the same time Congress was impeaching the President.

Is it possible that the impeachment in December and January was partly intended to keep Trump from paying attention to Covid-19?

14) That is the accusation that members of the press have leveled against POTUS this week.

What if the intent was to keep everyone's attention on impeachment (Including POTUS) while Covid-19 was spreading around the world?

15) As late a January 14th, the City of Wuhan denied the existence of any cases.

The World Health Organization echoed the position of the Chinese Government, saying there was no evidence of human-to-human transmission of Covid-19 in Wuhan.



The Comprehensive Timeline of China's COVID-19 Lies | National Review

A day-by-day, month-by-month breakdown of China's coronavirus coverup and the irreparable damage it has caused around the globe.

https://www.nationalreview.com/the-morning-jolt/chinas-devastating-lies/

January 14: Wuhan city health authorities release another statement declaring, "Among the close contacts, no related cases were found." Wuhan doctors have known this was false since early December, from the first victim and his wife, who did not visit the market.

The World Health Organization echoes China's assessment: "Preliminary investigations conducted by the Chinese authorities have found no clear evidence of human-to-human transmission of the novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV) identified in Wuhan, China."

This is five or six weeks after the first evidence of human-to-human transmission in Wuhan.


January 15: Japan reported its first case of coronavirus. Japan's Health Ministry said the patient had not visited any seafood markets in China, adding that "it is possible that the patient had close contact with an unknown patient with lung inflammation while in China."


The Wuhan Municipal Health Commission begins to change its statements, now declaring, "Existing survey results show that clear human-to-human evidence has not been found, and the possibility of limited human-to-human transmission cannot be ruled out, but the risk of continued human-to-human transmission is how." Recall Wuhan hospitals concluded human-to-human transmission is low." Recall Wuhan hospitals concluded human-to-human transmission was occurring three weeks earlier. A statement the next day backtracks on the possibility of human transmission, saying only, "Among the close contacts, no related cases were found."

January 17: The CDC and the Department of Homeland Security's Customs and Border Protection announce that travelers from Wuhan to the United States will undergo entry screening for symptoms associated with 2019-nCoV at three U.S. airports that receive most of the travelers from Wuhan, China: San Francisco, New York (JFK), and Los Angeles airports.

16) The next day, January 15th, the patient who would become the first confirmed U.S. case left Wuhan and arrived in the U.S. carrying the virus.

H/T @M2Madness






17) The articles of impeachment were delivered to the Senate on the same day, January 15th.



18) Q posted a link to a tweet by @M2Madness with the information in tweets 16 and 17 $^{\wedge\wedge}$ and asked a series of questions.





- 19) The articles of impeachment were, apparently, held up in the House (H) long enough to allow the first carrier from China to enter the U.S. Then the articles were delivered to the Senate
- 20) The public was told that the delay in delivering the articles of impeachment was necessary to allow time to negotiate about the impeachment process and witnesses, but that was a cover story.
- 21) Everyone knew the President would be acquitted.

The impeachment wasn't about removing Trump from office.

It gave his enemies a venue with the press where they could hurl false accusations at him, and it distracted everyone from the impending pandemic.

22) The pandemic ended the President's rallies.

It ended stock market gains, economic gains, and unemployment gains, and it delayed Chinatrade negotiations.

(China would benefit greatly if it negotiated with Joe Biden instead of Trump.)

- 23) The pandemic ended the Democrat debates, locking in Joe Biden as the nominee. Biden has no public appearances scheduled and the structure of the Presidential debates may be changed. The pandemic ended media coverage of the Hunter Biden-Ukraine-China scandal.
- 24) Since Bernie Sanders dropped out of the Presidential race, Dems need to recruit his base. Nancy Pelosi plans to include parts of the "Green New Deal" in a coronavirus relief bill to appease them.

POTUS knows her plan and will not sign such a bill.





25) Corrupt people in positions of power will do anything necessary to retain control. A major component of their plan is deceiving the public through propaganda.

[F] = foreign.

► Q (!!Hs1Jq13jV6) 04/08/20 (Wed) 09:36:02

Have you ever witnessed the media, Hwood, [D] party [full], [F] leaders, [F] media, etc. push so much hatred towards a sitting US President?

Why are 'division' tactics necessary?

Coordinated?

Define propaganda.

Infiltration instead of invasion.

They will stop at nothing to regain power.

Q

26) Doctors are being instructed to attribute the cause of death to the coronavirus, regardless of the presence of comorbid factors.

This seems like a deliberate attempt to artificially inflate the number of deaths.




27) Here's one doctor's reaction.

"Fear is a great way to control people."



28) Who would benefit from an inflated number of coronavirus deaths?

Democratic politicians see the pandemic as an opportunity to change voting laws.




29) Majority Whip James Clyburn (D., S.C.) said the coronavirus relief bill was "a tremendous opportunity to restructure things to fit our vision."




Dem Rep. Told Colleagues Coronavirus Bill Is 'Tremendous Opportunit...Pelosi worked to scupper the coronavirus relief package after James Clyburn said that the bill was "a tremendous opportunity to restructure things to fit our vision."

https://www.nationalreview.com/news/dem-rep-told-colleagues-coronavirus-bill-is-trem...

30)



31) Why did the World Health Organization oppose shutting down travel from China?



32) Why do Dems and the media oppose the use of Hydrochloroquine? (Do they want the pandemic to worsen?)



33) Social distancing was delayed long enough to allow Joe Biden to lock up the Democrat nomination on "Super Tuesday," (March 3rd).



34) A week after Super Tuesday, San Francisco Mayor London Breed banned public gatherings of more than 1,000 people, which caused the Golden State Warriors to announce that they would play without fans.



35) Within 48 hours, the NBA announced that it would suspend the remainder of the season. The NCAA canceled its March Madness tournament.

Other professional and college sports teams canceled their matches. Soon, public schools and businesses were closed.

36) With the media pushing fear and panic, the stock market crumbled. Jobs were wiped out.

The press and key investors erased the economic gains realized under the Trump administration.



37) Some Democrat Governors initially banned the use of hydroxychloroquine for Covid-19, but later reversed course.

Did they hope to increase mortality and morbidity?



38) One Governor was found to be hoarding hydroxychloroquine after instituting a statewide ban on its use for Covid-19.





39) Q ended the above post with a couple of interesting observations.

Welcome to the [D][People's Republic of China] party.

It does seem as if the Democrat party, the media, and China are working toward the same goals.



40) We have another instance of "The Silent War continues.."

(Note, there are 2 dots in the ellipsis that follows this phrase.)

```
Why?
When did [BIDEN] become the front runner?
Why was this critically important?
What happened directly after?
WHO BENEFITS THE MOST?
EVERYTHING AT STAKE.
When everything else FAILED....
DO YOU ATTEMPT TO CHEAT?
Welcome to the [D][People's Republic of China] party.
The Silent War continues..

[6+]
Q
```

41) This graphic shows a pattern of the number of dots in the ellipsis at the end of the statement, "The silent war continues."

On Feb 6 there were 5 dots in the ellipsis. Feb 8 there were 4 dots

E 1 40 .1 ... D.1 .

Feb 12 there were 3 dots

Feb 25 there were 2 dots


All posts since then have 2 dots




41) Q closed this post with [6+]



42) [6+] is likely a reference to George Soros, one of the trillionaires who control global events.



43) When [George Soros] calls, Dems race to answer.



44) For those interested:

One of the three families that control global events, the House of Saud (6+++), was removed from power in November of 2017.



45) It's interesting how some Governors jumped at the opportunity to restrict personal freedoms during the pandemic.

Almost as if that were part of some evil plan.

The Silent War continues..



46) Filenames of images are sometimes relevant.

Filename:

 $the_plan_today_americans_would_be_outraged_if_un_troops_7460130.png$



47) Rothschilds.




48) In this post, Q linked to 2 articles and 1 tweet, suggesting we read them in order.



49) Article 1 is about Democrat attempts to change election laws as a result of the pandemic.



50) The article notes that HRC has been burning the midnight oil pushing for election rule changes.



51)



52)



53) Article 2 is an op-ed from Harmeet Dhillon (@pnjaban) about how Dems are trying to change election laws in the wake of the pandemic.



Harmeet Dhillon: Coronavirus and elections - Dems doing this to gain ...

Democrats want to leverage this crisis to massively expand the power of Washington at the expense of the states and individual freedoms.

https://www.foxnews.com/opinion/coronavirus-elections-wisconsin-democrats-harmeet...

Democrats have been trying to change the rules for elections for years through the courts. Consider what they are doing this week in Wisconsin. Wisconsin had an election scheduled for Tuesday for a variety of important races. The governor delayed it Monday morning, but that evening the Wisconsin Supreme Court determined he had exceeded his authority under state law. So now the election is going forward as scheduled, for

Democrats, led by Democratic National Committee lawyer Marc Elias, had already sued to change some of the rules governing the election in light of the COVID-19 pandemic.

Normally Wisconsin requires absentee ballots to be received by Election Day to be counted. This is a common-sense rule in many states. It allows ballots to be counted quickly, gives finality to the election, and prevents shenanigans with ballot harvesting and attempts to change the result after Election Day.

The Democrats asked the district court to allow absentee ballots postmarked by Election Day to be counted even if received after, due to delays in processing a large number of absentee ballot requests and speculative delays in the mail. Instead of just granting the requested relief, the court went even further. Its order allowed absentee ballots received by next Monday, April 13, to be counted, regardless of when postmarked.

That meant that people could vote almost a week after Election Day.

While the Democrats did not ask for that rule change (probably because it would have been a ridiculous request), when the court granted it, they defended it on appeal and claimed that it was really what they wanted all along.

54) Did you know that Al Franken was elected in a recount in Minnesota when election laws were changed after the election?



Harmeet Dhillon: Coronavirus and elections - Dems doing this to gain ...

Democrats want to leverage this crisis to massively expand the power of Washington at the expense of the states and individual freedoms.

After an emergency appeal, the U.S. Supreme Court reversed the court's ruling, upholding the important principle that people cannot vote after Election Day and requiring ballots to

https://www.foxnews.com/opinion/coronavirus-elections-wisconsin-democrats-harmeet-

This is a classic move from the Democrats' anti-election integrity playbook. Back in 2008, Elias convinced the Minnesota secretary of state to change the rules after the election, resulting in the post-recount victory of Al Franken over Norm Coleman for the Minnesota Senate seat. Franken's victory gave Democrats the 60th vote in the Senate needed for the supermajority that allowed ObamaCare to pass.

55) POTUS knows what's up.

be postmarked by Election Day.



56)




57) Switching gears...

Q posted links to 2 articles and a quote about U.S Attorney John Durham who is investigating the Obama administration's surveillance of Donald Trump.




58) The filename for the attached image is "SilentWar_jpg"



59) U.S. Attorney John Durham is widely respected as being fair and objective.

He is reported to have relied on a "good versus evil" vision of the world while leading the investigation of former Connecticut Governor John Rowland.

http://news.bbc.co.uk/2/hi/americas/8219763.stm



 $60)\ The\ second\ article\ is\ long\ but\ worth\ reading.$ I'll post a few passages from it.

John Durham won't bring charges unless he has evidence of guilt beyond a reasonable doubt that would withstand the challenge of an appeal.



The Last Trusted Prosecutor in Washington | National Review

John Durham's career is full of big, high-stakes, politically charged cases, but this one is the biggest, highest-stakes, and most politically charged of all. Luckily, it seems like his whole life ha...

https://www.nationalreview.com/2019/11/john-durham-last-trusted-prosecutor-in-washi...

The lack of criticism for Durham's decision in the CIA cases is a bit surprising, considering how routinely the agency was denounced by lawmakers, commentators, and activists, particularly at that time. The Department of Justice never elaborated on why Durham didn't recommend charges in the destruction of the tapes, but one complication was that the burning of the 92 tapes on November 9, 2005, was authorized in a cable sent by Jose Rodriguez Jr., head of the agency's directorate of operations. Another clue came in a Washington Post article, again quoting unidentified sources saying, "authorities found it difficult to pinpoint the motivation for destroying the tapes, and that it was difficult to prove criminal intent."

In his speech at University of St. Joseph, Durham did not discuss his investigation of the tapes, but he did discuss the prosecutor's calculus of when charges are warranted, which likely applied in this decision. "You are only authorized to bring a prosecution if you believe, based upon the evidence that you have, that you are likely to be able to prove a case not to the probable cause standard, which is all that is required to arrest somebody, but if you believe you can prosecute the case and prove that case beyond a reasonable doubt, and beyond that, that you would be able to sustain that conviction on appeal," Durham said. "And if you can't say, in all honesty, that you would be able to do that, then prosecution is not warranted. When on the nightly news, you're watching what Bob Mueller is doing, and so forth, however that investigation turns out, whatever Mr. Mueller and his

Muelier is doing, and so forth, however that investigation turns out, whatever Mr. Muelier and in colleague conclude, if it's not satisfactory to the public, it may very well be because Bob Mueller is an honorable man who applies those principles of law as he's been taught and as he's taught to others."

"Durham was not just saying, 'Do I have enough here that I could actually get a prosecution?'," Deitz said. "But 'Do I have enough here to justify — legally, morally, societally — this person's conviction?' That's another reason why I admire him."

61) That is different from how some U.S. Attorneys operate.

Many will bring charges, particularly against wealthy clients, anticipating the case never going trial. They expect defendants to agree to pay fines rather than going to court. (This practice is opposed by AG Barr.)

62) A lot of information is provided in the article about Durham's prosecution of organized crime syndicates.



THE LAST TRUSTER PROSECUTOR IN WASHINGTON | INAUTORIAL REVIEW

John Durham's career is full of big, high-stakes, politically charged cases, but this one is the biggest, highest-stakes, and most politically charged of all. Luckily, it seems like his whole life ha...

https://www.nationalreview.com/2019/11/john-durham-last-trusted-prosecutor-in-washi...

David X. Sullivan, a longtime federal prosecutor who's now running for Congress, joined the U.S. attorney's office in New Haven in 1989 and worked alongside Durham for years.

"John is a consummate professional — he never discusses the particulars of a case with anyone who doesn't need to know about it," Sullivan said. "John doesn't need to raise his voic. He commands a tot of respect. When he spoke, people listened. When John dri raise his voice, I'll just say yor fielt his words. But he is just one of those people who, if you ran into him in elevator and had a conversation in passing, you would never know the authority he had or the weight on his shoulders. He's strong but quiet."

By 1991, Durham was leading the prosecution of the New England Family of La Cosa Nostra. One of the most notorious gangsters of the era, William "The Wild Guy" Grasso, had been murdered, shot in the back of the neck and his body dumped in a patch of poison by by the side of the Connecticut River in Wethersfield. Around the same time, Grasso's right-hand man, "Cadillae Frank" Salemme, had been shot outside an International House of Pancakes but survived. The FBI and Durham rolled in, convicting seven high-profile mobsters, including boss Nicholas Bianco for 1 years and 5 months in prison for racketeering. The Hartford Courant called Durham "an avenging angel" who had put one third of Connecticut's mafia in jail and never lost a case. In a six-year period, Durham racked up 110 organized-crime convictions.

Durham's foes in the courtroom weren't just the mafia. He won a conviction of William Dodge, leader of the Ku Klux Klan in southern New England, on charges of illegal possession of firearms, silencers, and explosives. During the trial of one of Dodge's fellow Klansmen, Scott E. Palmer, Durham had a dramatic confrontation with a Roman Catholic priest, the Reverend Mark R. Jette, who had testified that Palmer had reformed his hateful ways. "He is confident Scott Palmer has seen the error of his ways?" Durham asked, according to press accounts. He then presented two drawings Palmer had made in prison. The first was a skull and crossbones with the words, "White Power," and an anote saying, "Kill all the n****s" for Santa Claus." The other "appeared to be an oval-shaped insignia. At the top was 'LYNCH MOB' and at the bottom was 'WALLINGFORD CT.' In the middle was a noose and a fiery cross," The judge found Durham's presentation of the sketches more compelling than the reverend and sentenced Palmer to the maximum 63 months on federal weapons charges.

63) Durham is notorious for his fairness and objectivity, even receiving praise from Eric Holder and former CIA Director Michael Hayden.



The Last Trusted Prosecutor in Washington | National Review

John Durham's career is full of big, high-stakes, politically charged cases, but this one is the biggest, highest-stakes, and most politically charged of all. Luckily, it seems like his whole life ha...

https://www.nationalreview.com/2019/11/john-durham-last-trusted-prosecutor-in-washi...

But whether Durham is more accurately compared to a bull or a pussycat, he could bare his teeth.
"One day he came storming into my office at the agency, almost yelling — which was very uncharacteristic for him — and he said something like, 'Bob, you're the only thing standing between the CIA and an FBI raid!' I was taken aback and surprised. He believed he was being jerked around by certain people at the agency, and it wasn't an entirely wrong belief. I ended up talking to him at length and then taking care of the problem."

Once he was investigating the destruction of the tapes, Durham didn't discuss his work with Mukasey. Durham worked on his probe for three years in methodical and exhausting fashion. Unnamed sources told the $Washington\ Post$ that Durham brought CIA lawyers, operatives, and others before a federal grand jury and probed for inconsistencies in their accounts; some witnesses testified four or five times. As the attorney general recalls it, there was no issue with cooperation from the CIA; then-director Michael Hayden "was well aware of the need to cooperate and that Durham would act with scrupulous fairness. His conclusion — that no prosecution was warranted in the circumstances — was never disputed by anyone."

In August 2009, Attorney General Eric Holder expanded Durham's mandate to see if any CIA officials who used 'enhanced interrogation techniques' should be charged with crimes. By June 2011, Durham recommended opening full criminal investigations regarding the death of two individuals while in United States custody at overseas locations, and closing the remaining matters. A year later, the Department of Justice decided not to press charges in those cases, either

Holder declared that Durham had investigated every angle as thoroughly as humanly possible. "Mr. Durham and his team of agents and prosecutors have worked tirelessly to conduct extraordinarily thorough and complete preliminary reviews and investigations. I am grateful to his team and to him for their commitment to ensuring that the preliminary review and the subsequent investigations fully examined a broad universe of allegations from multiple sources. I continue to believe that our nation will be better for it."

The lack of criticism for Durham's decision in the CIA cases is a bit surprising, considering how routinely the agency was denounced by lawmakers, commentators, and activists, particularly at that

64) Durham refuses to discuss his cases with the press. He is not susceptible to outside influence and can't be rushed.



The Last Trusted Prosecutor in Washington | National Review

John Durham's career is full of big, high-stakes, politically charged cases, but this one is the biggest, highest-stakes, and most politically charged of all. Luckily, it seems like his whole life ha...

https://www.nationalreview.com/2019/11/john-durham-last-trusted-prosecutor-in-washi...

From all this, we can surmise a few things about Durham's ongoing investigation into the launch of the probe of Donald Trump and his potential connections to Russia during the 2016 campaign. Durham will not speak to the press at all until he is done, and probably not even then. He and his team are extremely unlikely to leak. He is not afraid to reach conclusions that will disappoint or frustrate Attorney General Barr or President Trump. He will not be rushed; there is no guarantee that Durham will reach any prosecutorial decisions before the 2020 elections. And he will investigate so extensively and thoroughly that no reasonable observer will be able to argue that something important was missed. As Sullivan describes Durham, "He will be in constant pursuit of the truth and the facts and the evidence. John doesn't take days off. He is methodical, but he is always working."

If Durham chooses to bring charges against any official who launched the Trump probe, history suggests he is extremely likely to persuade a jury to convict the accused and sustain those convictions upon appeal. And if he does not bring charges, it is extremely unlikely that any other prosecutor could have succeeded; regardless of what the official did, the evidence would not be there to convict or sustain a conviction.

John Durham's career is full of big, high-stakes, politically charged cases, but this one is the biggest, highest-stakes, and most politically charged of all. Luckily, it seems like his whole life has prepared him for this moment.

65) AG Barr indicated that Durham's investigation would not be rushed to appease anyone.

He predicted the investigation would "reach an important watershed" in late spring or early summer of 2020.



- 66) AG Barr will be interviewed by Laura Ingraham tonight. If any helpful information comes out of the interview, I'll add it to this thread.
- 67) U.S. Attorney Durham gave one public address where he discussed his views of law enforcement and reviewed some of the cases he's prosecuted.



https://www.youtube.com/embed/cftyldgD2AU

68) Q posted links to a tweet and a website.

I do not yet know the meaning of: [out of order_insert important]

▶ Q !!!Hs1Jq13jV6 04/08/20 (Wed) 14:37:40
[out of order_insert important]
https://twitter.com/MonangeSauvi/status/124798857464
2978816
Did you think 'spirit cooking' was just pretend?
http://cannibalclub.org/index.html
Q

69) Link to Tweet:

Warning: GRAPHIC IMAGES

https://twitter.com/MonangeSauvi/status/1247988574642978816

70) The Cannibal Club.

cannibalclub.org/index.html



- 71) I am not offering comment on the legitimacy of the images in the previous tweets.
- 72) Armored up?

Filename: Armor_of_God.jpg



73)

```
➤ Anonymous 04/08/20 (Wed) 13:15:19 ID: 7128ba (1) No.8725173

>>8724925
I pray every night that each of us puts on the Armor of God, Q. GOD WINS. DOITQ.
```




75)

► **Anonymous** 04/08/20 (Wed) 13:15:32

>>8724925

God bless the plan! Thank you Q!

76)



77)



78) AG Barr expressed his frustration that the media and Democrats were fine with hydroxychloroquine until the President began touting it as a therapy for Covid-19. Since then,

according to Barr, "the media has been on a jihad to discredit the drug,"



Barr disappointed by partisan attacks leveled at President Trump, says...

Attorney General William Barr told "The Ingraham Angle" Wednesday that he was disappointed over the partisan attacks leveled against President Trump during the coronavirus pandemic and blasted report...

https://www.foxnews.com/media/ag-william-barr-disappointed-by-partisan-attacks-levie...

79) Would the media and Dems allow lives to be lost to retain power?

Many of them are going to prison if they can't find a way to replace POTUS and Barr.

Difficult truths will soon see the light of day.



80) Referring to yesterday's cannibalism posts, Q asked how one would hide the truth about acts of darkness

You might create a website that discusses a real, but reprehensible phenomenon, and let the public know the website is fake, and then argue that the subject itself is fake



► Anonymous 04/09/20 (Thu) 11:03:16

>>8734998

Good afternoon, Boss!

You hide the truth in plain view, of course.

That's how we do "Art"!

82) Q wrote:

How do you hide the truth?

The CIA weaponized the term "conspiracy there" to silence anyone who questioned the government's official narrative of the Kennedy assassination.





Trump released documents regarding Kennedy's assassination.

JFK was hit by two bullets from two different directions, confirming that two shooters were involved.



The One Paragraph You Need to Read from the JFK Assassination File...

TruePundit.com warns that one haunting paragraph unearthed from 3,000 neverbefore-seen documents will shake Patriots to their core about the assassination of President John F. Kennedy. Or perhaps wo...

https://www.globalresearch.ca/the-one-paragraph-you-need-to-read-from-the-jfk-assas...

ADMINISTRATIVE

Re speech by OREN FENTON POTITO, St. Petersburg, Florida, January 16, 1964.

On January 17, 1964 TP 100 PCI (RAC) furnished to SAs JAMES P. O'NEIL and JAMES E. WALLACE the following information:

On the evening of January 16, 1964 a dinner sponsored by OREN FENTON POTITO was held at Donat's Restaurant, 6001 Haines Road, North St. Petersburg, Florida. The dinner meeting was informal public in nature and approximately 41 persons were in attendance.

The source has identified POTITO as a member of the National States_Rights-Party (NSRP) in the Spring of 1963 at which time POTITO resigned while holding the office of National Organizer. Since that time POTITO has held meetings of a public nature under various titles all of which are non-existent organizations. He is not known to have any national affiliation at the present time although he is in contact with various leaders of right wing groups in the United States.

In the course of his speech of some 3 hours following the dinner POTITO touched on the association between LEE HARVEY OSWALD and RUBY.

POTITO identified RUBY, real name RUBENSTEIN, as a Communist Party Member since 1929 and through this connection linked him to OSWALD. He identified OSWALD as a Communist through his association with the Fair Play for Cuba Committee (FPCC).

According to POTITO only two organizations knew the route of the parade in Dallas on November 22, 1963 for any period of time portion to the parade and these two were the United States Secret Service and the Dallas Police Department. RUBY had insinuated himself into the Police Department circle, obtained the route and arranged with OSWALD to take the job at the state school building along the route to carry out the assessination.

POTITO said the "Surgeon General's report" on the assassinated the first bullet entered the President's throat below the adams apple clearly showing that two persons were involved with the first shot being fired from the bridge across the park way in front of the car. To further substantiate this, POTITO said there was a bullet hole in the wind shield of the President's car.

For clarity...


...the "Surgeon General's Report" on the assassination stated that the first bullet entered the President's throat below the adams apple, clearly showing that two persons were involved with the first shot being fired from the bridge across the park way in front of the car.

To further substantiate this, POTITO said there was a bullet hole in the wind shield of the President's car...

Not exactly the narrative that was sold to the world – and certainly not the narrative that J. Edgar Hoover proclaimed must be defended to the world.

Here is Douglas P. Horne, via LewRockwell.com, detailing the photographic evidence of a bullet hole

84) Q asked anons to look into the JFK files shortly after they were released in 2017.



85) Elected officials are sometimes blackmailed by foreign state actors.

Being blackmailed causes them to serve someone other than the people they represent. (Nations like China)

Did corrupt politicians exchange sensitive information with foreign state actors?


```
    ▶ Q !!Hs1Jq13jV6 04/09/20 (Thu) 11:33:28
    What happens when state actor(s) [assets] are removed? How does a state actor gain leverage over a person in power [re: blackmail]? Define 'Traitor'.
    Data exchange(s) can be very dangerous.
    Q
```

86) The NSA has access to all electronic communications.

Information exchanged between politicians and state actors revealing illegal activities could easily find its way to the Justice Department.



87) That information would not be hard to enter into evidence since many government officials are currently under (legal) FISA surveillance.




88) I think the speculation over the crimes involved in first unsealed indictments can be laid to rest.



89) It seems the first indictments will be related to FISA abuse by members of the Obama administration.



90) Some people believe that those who participated in the biggest political scandal in history will not be held accountable.



91) Video posted by <u>@LukeGoldberg4</u>



92) Q's reference to Scot-Free in the previous post is a hat tip to the production company that made the film "White Squall."

Q has posted the trailer to the film many times.

Scott Free Productions

From Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia

Scott Free Productions is a British film and television production company founded in 1970 by filmmakers and brothers Ridley Scott and Tony Scott. They formed the feature film development company Percy Main Productions in 1980.^[1] naming the company after the English village Percy Main, where their father grew up. ^[2] The company was renamed to Scott Free Productions. in 1995 [2] Scott Free has produced films ranging from the 2000 Hollywood blockbuster *Gladiator* (2000) to "smaller pictures" like Cracks (2009). [2] Setween productions of *White Squall* (1996) and *G.I. Jane* (1997), Ridley Scott reorganised the company. [3] Scott Free Productions has offices in London and Los Angeles. It works with Ridley Scott's larger company RSA Films by assisting

directors in film and television.^[4]

Contents [hide]

- 1 Filmography 1.1 Film
- 1.2 Other 1.3 Animation
- 2 References
- 3 External links